

A FAMILY OF  $\tilde{A}_n$ -GROUPS

BY

DONALD I. CARTWRIGHT

*School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Sydney  
N.S.W. 2006, Australia  
e-mail: donaldc@maths.usyd.edu.au*

AND

TIM STEGER

*Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università di Sassari  
Via Vienna 2, 07100 Sassari, Italy  
e-mail: steger@ssmain.uniss.it*

## ABSTRACT

For each integer  $n \geq 2$  and for each prime power  $q$ , we exhibit a group  $\Gamma$  which acts simply transitively on the set of vertices of the building of type  $\tilde{A}_n$  associated with the local field  $\mathbb{F}_q((Y))$ . This generalizes work in [2] and [8] (where  $n = 2$ ) and in [1] (where  $n \leq 4$ ).

## §1. Introduction, notation

When  $K$  is a not necessarily commutative field with a discrete valuation  $v$ , there is a thick building  $\Delta = \tilde{A}_n(K, v)$  of type  $\tilde{A}_n$  associated with  $K$  (see, e.g., [7]). The group  $G = \mathrm{PGL}(n+1, K)$  acts transitively on the set  $\mathcal{V}_\Delta$  of vertices of  $\Delta$ , and it is natural to ask whether there is a subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $G$  which acts *simply* transitively on  $\mathcal{V}_\Delta$ . The group  $G$  acts on  $\Delta$  in a “type-rotating” way (see [1], [2]). In general, we call a group acting simply transitively and in a type-rotating way on the vertices of a thick building of type  $\tilde{A}_n$  an  **$\tilde{A}_n$ -group**. In this paper, for any integer  $n \geq 2$  and for any prime power  $q$ , we exhibit  $\tilde{A}_n$ -groups  $\Gamma \leq G$  when  $K = \mathbb{F}_q((Y))$ .

In [1],  $\tilde{A}_n$ -groups were characterized by means of the relatively simple presentations (called  **$\tilde{A}_n$ -triangle presentations**) they possess. In [1] and [2],  $\tilde{A}_n$ -groups  $\Gamma$  were found for  $n \leq 4$  by first finding  $\tilde{A}_n$ -triangle presentations,

---

Received October 1, 1995

then finding a field  $K$  such that the abstract group  $\Gamma$  with this presentation acts simply transitively on the vertices of  $\tilde{A}_n(K, v)$ . When  $n = 2$ ,  $\Gamma$  might act on a “nonclassical” building  $\Delta$ , and there is no such  $K$ .

In the present paper, we do not use  $\tilde{A}_n$ -triangle presentations, but instead work directly with a certain arithmetic lattice  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  in  $\mathrm{PGL}(n+1, K)$ , and then identify a finite index subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  which acts simply transitively on the vertices of  $\tilde{A}_n(K, v)$ . It does not appear possible to write down explicitly the  $\tilde{A}_n$ -triangle presentation of  $\Gamma$  when  $n \geq 5$ .

It is clear from the results in [1] that for each  $n \geq 2$  and each prime power  $q$  there can only be a finite number of nonarchimedean local fields  $K$  with residual field of order  $q$  such that  $\tilde{A}_n(K, v)$  admits an  $\tilde{A}_n$ -group. When  $n = 2$  and  $q = 2$  or 3,  $K = \mathbb{F}_q((Y))$  and  $K = \mathbb{Q}_q$  are the only possibilities [3]. By contrast to the case  $K = \mathbb{F}_q((Y))$ , we know only a small finite number of examples of  $p$ -adic  $\tilde{A}_n$ -groups. All possible 2-adic and 3-adic  $\tilde{A}_2$ -groups were found in [3], and examples of 5-adic and 7-adic  $\tilde{A}_2$ -groups are given in [8]. The methods of [8] can be extended slightly to produce an example of a 5-adic  $\tilde{A}_3$ -group.

Let us recall some basic facts about buildings of type  $\tilde{A}_n$ . Let  $d_{\mathcal{V}}(u, v)$  denote the natural graph distance on  $\mathcal{V}_{\Delta}$ . If we fix a vertex  $v_0$  of  $\Delta$ , and let  $\Pi(v_0) = \{v \in \mathcal{V}_{\Delta} : d_{\mathcal{V}}(v_0, v) = 1\}$  be the set of neighbours of  $v_0$ , then  $\Pi(v_0)$  has a natural incidence structure: if  $u, v \in \Pi(v_0)$  are distinct, we call  $u$  and  $v$  **incident** if  $u, v$  and  $v_0$  lie on a common chamber of  $\Delta$ . This makes  $\Pi(v_0)$  a projective geometry, and in the case  $\Delta = \tilde{A}_n(K, v)$  which concerns us here,  $\Pi(v_0)$  is isomorphic to the projective geometry  $\Pi(\mathbf{V})$ , the flag complex of an  $n+1$  dimensional vector space  $\mathbf{V}$  over the residual field  $k$  of  $K$ . There is a **type map**  $\tau : \mathcal{V}_{\Delta} \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$  such that each chamber of  $\Delta$  contains one vertex of each type. We may assume that  $v_0$  has type 0. The type map  $\tau$  can be chosen so that the type  $\tau(x_U)$  of the vertex  $x_U \in \Pi(v_0)$  corresponding to  $U \subset \mathbf{V}$  is just  $\dim(U)$ . When  $\Delta = \tilde{A}_n(K, v)$ , it is easy to give an explicit isomorphism  $\Pi(v_0) \rightarrow \Pi(\mathbf{V})$ : if  $v_0$  is the lattice class  $[L_0]$ , then  $\Pi(v_0)$  consists of the classes  $[L]$  of lattices  $L$  satisfying  $L_0\pi \subsetneq L \subsetneq L_0$ , where  $\pi \in K$  and  $v(\pi) = 1$ , and we can associate to  $[L] \in \Pi(v_0)$  the subspace  $L/L_0\pi$  of  $\mathbf{V} = L_0/L_0\pi$  (which is an  $n+1$ -dimensional vector space over  $k$ ). For  $g \in \mathrm{GL}(n+1, K)$ , we set  $\tau([gL_0])$  equal to the  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$  satisfying  $i = -v(\det(g)) \pmod{n+1}$ , and then  $\tau([L])$  equals the dimension of  $L/L_0\pi$  over  $k$  for each  $[L] \in \Pi(v_0)$ . In this paper,  $K$  equals  $\mathbb{F}_q((Y))$ , where  $q$  is a prime power and  $Y$  is an indeterminate. We shall always use  $L_0 = \mathbb{F}_q[[Y]]^{n+1} \subset \mathbb{F}_q((Y))^{n+1}$  as our base lattice. Recall that the stabilizer of  $L_0$  in  $\mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{F}_q((Y)))$  is  $\mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{F}_q[[Y]])$ .

Certain cyclic simple algebras (see, e.g., [4, Chapter 7]) play a central role in this paper, as they did in [1] and [2]. We start with the indeterminate  $Y$ . It will be convenient to also use  $Z = 1 + Y$ . Let  $d = n + 1$ , and let  $\varphi$  denote a generator of the Galois group of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Thus there is an integer  $k$  such that  $\text{g.c.d.}(k, d) = 1$  and  $\varphi(x) = x^{q^k}$  for each  $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}$ . Note that  $\varphi$  extends naturally to an automorphism of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}(Y) = \mathbb{F}_{q^d}(Z)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q(Y) = \mathbb{F}_q(Z)$ . We form the cyclic simple algebra  $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{F}_{q^d}(Y)[\sigma]$ , whose elements may be written uniquely

$$(1.1) \quad x_0 + x_1\sigma + \cdots + x_{d-1}\sigma^{d-1}, \quad \text{where } x_0, \dots, x_{d-1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}(Y),$$

and where multiplication is determined by the rules  $\sigma^d = Z$  and  $\sigma x \sigma^{-1} = \varphi(x)$  for  $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}(Y)$ . Now  $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}(Y)[\sigma]$  is actually a division algebra, because  $Z^d$  is the lowest power of  $Z$  which is a norm  $N_{\mathbb{F}_{q^d}(Y)/\mathbb{F}_q(Y)}(\xi)$  of some  $\xi \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}(Y)$  (see, for example, [5, p. 84]), though this fact is not used below. Now consider an indeterminate  $X$ , and choose any  $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}$  such that  $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^d}/\mathbb{F}_q}(\beta) = t_1 \neq 0$ . Then the norm  $N_{\mathbb{F}_{q^d}(X)/\mathbb{F}_q(X)}(1 + \beta X)$  equals  $1 + t_1 X + t_2 X^2 + \cdots + t_d X^d$  for certain other elements  $t_2, \dots, t_d$  of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . We embed  $\mathbb{F}_q(Y)$  into  $\mathbb{F}_q(X)$  and  $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}(Y)$  into  $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}(X)$  by mapping  $Y$  to  $t_1 X + t_2 X^2 + \cdots + t_d X^d$ . The algebra  $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}(X)[\sigma] \cong \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbb{F}_q(X)$ , whose elements are as in (1.1), but with the  $x_j$ 's now in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}(X)$ , splits, i.e., is isomorphic to the algebra  $M_{d \times d}(\mathbb{F}_q(X))$  of  $d \times d$  matrices over  $\mathbb{F}_q(X)$ , because  $Z$  is now a norm. To give an explicit isomorphism, we modify slightly [1, Section 3] and [2, Section 4], and first define an embedding  $\Psi : \mathbb{F}_{q^d}(X)[\sigma] \rightarrow M_{d \times d}(\mathbb{F}_{q^d}(X))$  via

$$x \mapsto \Psi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi(x) & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \varphi^{d-1}(x) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}(X),$$

and

$$\sigma \mapsto \Psi(\sigma) = S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 + \beta X & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 + \varphi(\beta)X & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 + \varphi^{d-2}(\beta)X \\ 1 + \varphi^{d-1}(\beta)X & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $\xi_0, \dots, \xi_{d-1}$  be a basis for  $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , and let  $Q \in \text{GL}(d, \mathbb{F}_{q^d})$  have  $(i, j)$ -th entry  $\varphi^j(\xi_i)$  for  $i, j = 0, \dots, d-1$ . The conjugation  $M \mapsto QMQ^{-1}$  maps the image of  $\Psi$  into  $M_{d \times d}(\mathbb{F}_q(X))$ , because the  $(i, j)$ -th entry of  $Q^{-1}$  is  $\varphi^i(\eta_j)$ , where  $\text{Tr}(\xi_i \eta_j) = \delta_{i,j}$ . The map  $\xi \mapsto Q\Psi(\xi)Q^{-1}$  is an isomorphism  $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}(X)[\sigma] \rightarrow M_{d \times d}(\mathbb{F}_q(X))$ .

There is a natural action of  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$  on  $\Delta$ . For we can embed  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $M_{d \times d}(\mathbb{F}_q((Y)))$  by means of the map

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbb{F}_q(X) \cong M_{d \times d}(\mathbb{F}_q(X)) \hookrightarrow M_{d \times d}(\mathbb{F}_q((X))) \cong M_{d \times d}(\mathbb{F}_q((Y))),$$

where the last isomorphism comes from the fact that  $Y \mapsto t_1X + \cdots + t_dX^d$  leads to an isomorphism  $\mathbb{F}_q((Y)) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q((X))$ . Now (1.2) embeds the group  $\mathcal{A}^\times$  of invertible elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $\text{GL}(d, \mathbb{F}_q((Y)))$ . This gives an embedding  $\mathcal{A}^\times / Z(\mathcal{A}^\times) \rightarrow \text{PGL}(d, \mathbb{F}_q((Y)))$ . Finally,  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A}) \cong \mathcal{A}^\times / Z(\mathcal{A}^\times)$  by the Skolem-Noether Theorem (see [4, p. 263]), which states that each  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$  is of the form  $x \mapsto axa^{-1}$  for some  $a \in \mathcal{A}^\times$ . Thus we get an embedding of  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$  into  $\text{PGL}(d, \mathbb{F}_q((Y)))$ , which acts on  $\Delta$  in the natural way.

## §2. A subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$ acting simply transitively

As above, fix a basis  $\xi_0, \dots, \xi_{d-1}$  for  $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Then

$$\xi_0, \dots, \xi_{d-1}, \xi_0\sigma, \dots, \xi_{d-1}\sigma, \dots, \xi_0\sigma^{d-1}, \dots, \xi_{d-1}\sigma^{d-1}$$

is an ordered basis of  $\mathcal{A}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q(Y)$ .

*Definition:* Let  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  denote the set of automorphisms  $\alpha$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  whose matrix with respect to this basis has entries in

$$\mathbb{F}_q \left[ \frac{1}{Y} \right] = \mathbb{F}_q \left[ \frac{1}{Z-1} \right].$$

Let  $\Gamma$  denote the set of  $\alpha \in \tilde{\Gamma}$  such that for each  $i, j = 0, \dots, d-1$ ,  $\alpha(\xi_i\sigma^j) = \xi_i\sigma^j + \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} t_k\sigma^k \pmod{1/Y}$ , where the  $t_k$ 's are in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}$ .

If  $\alpha \in \tilde{\Gamma}$ , then  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  means that its matrix with respect to the basis has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} I & A_{1,2} & A_{1,3} & \cdots & A_{1,d} \\ 0 & I & A_{2,3} & \cdots & A_{2,d} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & I & A_{d-1,d} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{modulo } \frac{1}{Y},$$

where the  $I$ 's are  $d \times d$  identity matrices, and the  $A_{i,j}$  are  $d \times d$  matrices with entries in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

Note that  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  is a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$ . For if  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$ , then, regarded as a  $\mathbb{F}_q(Y)$ -linear map, the determinant of  $\alpha$  is 1. For there is an  $a \in \mathcal{A}^\times$  such that  $\alpha(x) = axa^{-1}$  for all  $x$ . Let  $A \in \text{GL}(d, \mathbb{F}_q((Y)))$  correspond to  $a$  under

the mapping (1.2). Then  $\alpha$  extends to the composition of the maps  $M \mapsto AM$  and  $M \mapsto MA^{-1}$  on  $M_{d \times d}(\mathbb{F}_q((Y)))$ , and these maps have determinant  $\det(A)^d$  and  $\det(A)^{-d}$ , respectively. It is routine to check that  $\Gamma$  is a subgroup of  $\tilde{\Gamma}$ .

The following element of  $\mathcal{A}$  will be important for us:

$$b_1 = \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \sigma^{-j} = 1 + \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} \sigma^j.$$

Calculating  $b_1(1 - \sigma^{-1})$ , we easily see that

$$b_1 = \frac{Z-1}{Z} (1 - \sigma^{-1})^{-1}.$$

When  $u \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}^\times$ , we denote by  $b_u$  the conjugate  $ub_1u^{-1}$  of  $b_1$  by  $u$ .

LEMMA 2.1: *For each  $u \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}^\times$ , conjugation by  $b_u$  is an element of  $\Gamma$ . The norm of  $b_u$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $((Z-1)/Z)^{d-1}$ .*

*Proof:* We must calculate  $b_u \xi_i \sigma^j b_u^{-1}$ . For  $\xi \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 \xi b_1^{-1} &= \left( \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \sigma^{-k} \right) \xi \frac{Z}{Z-1} (1 - \sigma^{-1}) \\ &= \xi + \frac{1}{Z-1} \left\{ (\xi - \varphi(\xi)) + (\varphi^{-1}(\xi) - \xi) \sigma^{d-1} + \cdots \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (\varphi^{-(d-1)}(\xi) - \varphi^{-(d-2)}(\xi)) \sigma \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that  $\sigma$  and  $b_1$  commute, we therefore have

$$\begin{aligned} b_u \xi \sigma^j b_u^{-1} &= u(b_1 u^{-1} \xi \varphi^j(u) b_1^{-1}) \sigma^j u^{-1} \\ &= u \left( u^{-1} \xi \varphi^j(u) + \frac{1}{Z-1} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} t_k \sigma^k \right) \sigma^j u^{-1} \\ &= \xi \sigma^j + \frac{1}{Z-1} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} u t_k \varphi^{k+j}(u^{-1}) \sigma^{k+j} \end{aligned}$$

for certain  $t_k \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}$ . Thus for  $j \leq \ell \leq d-1$  we get the term

$$\frac{1}{Z-1} u t_{\ell-j} \varphi^\ell(u^{-1}) \sigma^\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{1/Y}$$

on the right, while for  $0 \leq \ell \leq j-1$  we get the term

$$\frac{Z}{Z-1} u t_{d+\ell-j} \varphi^\ell(u^{-1}) \sigma^\ell \equiv u t_{d+\ell-j} \varphi^\ell(u^{-1}) \sigma^\ell \pmod{1/Y}.$$

It follows that conjugation by  $b_u$  is in  $\Gamma$ .

To calculate the norm  $N(b_1)$  of  $b_1$ , let  $g_1$  denote the image of  $b_1$  in  $\mathrm{GL}(d, \mathbb{F}_q((Y)))$  under the embedding (1.2). Then  $g_1^{-1}$  is a conjugate of  $\frac{Z}{Z-1}(I - S^{-1})$ . It is easy to calculate that  $\det(I - S^{-1}) = 1 - 1/Z$ , and so  $N(b_1) = \det(g_1) = ((Z - 1)/Z)^{d-1}$ .

**LEMMA 2.2:** *For  $u \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}^\times$ , let  $g_u \in \mathrm{GL}(d, \mathbb{F}_q((Y)))$  be the image of  $b_u = ub_1u^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}$  under the embedding (1.2). Let  $v_0$  be the standard base vertex of the  $\tilde{A}_n$  building  $\Delta$  associated with  $\mathbb{F}_q((Y))$ . Then the type 1 neighbours of  $v_0$  in  $\Delta$  are precisely the vertices  $g_uv_0$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}^\times$ , and  $g_uv_0 = g_{u'}v_0$  if and only if  $u = u'$  modulo  $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$ .*

*Proof:* The vertex  $v_0$  is the equivalence class  $[L_0]$  of the lattice  $L_0 = \mathbb{F}_q[[Y]]^d \subset \mathbb{F}_q((Y))^d$ . As  $b_1 = Z^{-1}(Z + \sigma + \cdots + \sigma^{d-1})$ , and the image of  $\sigma$  is  $QSQ^{-1}$ , which has entries in  $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$ , we see that  $g_1$  has entries in  $Z^{-1}\mathbb{F}_q[X] \subset \mathbb{F}_q[[Y]]$ , and so  $g_1L_0 \subset L_0$ . Also,  $(Z - 1)b_1^{-1} = Z(1 - \sigma^{-1}) = Z - \sigma^{d-1}$ . So  $Yg_1^{-1} = (Z - 1)g_1^{-1}$  has entries in  $\mathbb{F}_q[X] \subset \mathbb{F}_q[[Y]]$ . Hence  $Yg_1^{-1}L_0 \subset L_0$ . Thus  $YL_0 \subset g_1L_0 \subset L_0$ . Moreover,  $\det(g_u) = \det(g_1) = N(b_1) = ((Z - 1)/Z)^{d-1}$ , the valuation of which is  $d - 1$ . So the vertex  $g_uv_0 = [g_uL_0]$  is a neighbour of  $v_0$  of type 1.

Suppose that  $g_uv_0 = g_1v_0$ . Then  $g_1^{-1}g_u$  must be a scalar multiple of an element of  $\mathrm{GL}(d, \mathbb{F}_q[[Y]])$ . But  $g_1^{-1}g_u$  has determinant 1, which has valuation 0. Thus  $g_1^{-1}g_u \in \mathrm{GL}(d, \mathbb{F}_q[[Y]])$ . But  $g_1^{-1}g_u$  is the image of

$$\begin{aligned} b_1^{-1}ub_1u^{-1} &= \frac{Z}{Z-1}(1 - \sigma^{-1})u(1 + \sigma^{-1} + \cdots + \sigma^{-(d-1)})u^{-1} \\ &= \frac{Z}{Z-1}(u - \varphi^{-1}(u)\sigma^{-1})(1 + \sigma^{-1} + \cdots + \sigma^{-(d-1)})u^{-1} \\ &= \varphi^{-1}(u)/u + (u - \varphi^{-1}(u))\frac{Z}{Z-1}b_1u^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The image in  $\mathrm{GL}(d, \mathbb{F}_q((Y)))$  of

$$\frac{Z}{Z-1}b_1 = \frac{1}{Z-1}(Z + \sigma + \cdots + \sigma^{d-1})$$

is the conjugate by  $Q$  of  $\frac{1}{Z-1}(ZI + S + \cdots + S^{d-1})$ , which has diagonal entries  $Z/(Z - 1) \notin \mathbb{F}_q[[Z - 1]] = \mathbb{F}_q[[Y]]$ . Thus  $g_1^{-1}g_u$  cannot be in  $\mathrm{GL}(d, \mathbb{F}_q[[Y]])$  unless  $u - \varphi^{-1}(u) = 0$ ; that is, unless  $u \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . The result is now clear.

**LEMMA 2.3:** *The group  $\Gamma$  acts transitively on the set  $\mathcal{V}_\Delta$  of vertices of  $\Delta$ .*

*Proof:* Lemma 2.2 shows that each vertex  $x$  of  $\Delta$  satisfying  $d(x, v_0) = 1$  and  $\tau(x) = 1$  can be written  $x = gv_0$ , where  $g \in \mathrm{PGL}(d, \mathbb{F}_q((Y)))$  is in the image

of  $\Gamma$ . We next show by induction on  $i$  that the same is true for each vertex  $x$  satisfying  $d(x, v_0) = 1$  and  $\tau(x) = i$ . Assuming this for  $i - 1$  in place of  $i$ , and given  $x$  satisfying  $d(x, v_0) = 1$  and  $\tau(x) = i$ , we can choose a vertex  $x'$  satisfying  $d(x', v_0) = 1$ ,  $d(x', x) = 1$  and  $\tau(x') = i - 1$ . We can write  $x' = g'v_0$ , where  $g' \in \text{PGL}(d, \mathbb{F}_q((Y)))$  is in the image of  $\Gamma$ . Then  $d((g')^{-1}x, v_0) = d(x, g'v_0) = 1$  and  $\tau((g')^{-1}x) = 1$ , and so  $(g')^{-1}x = g_u v_0$  for some  $u \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}^\times$ . Thus  $x = g'g_u v_0$  is of the desired form.

It is then easy to see by induction on  $d(x, v_0)$  that each vertex  $x$  of  $\Delta$  can be written  $x = gv_0$ , where  $g \in \text{PGL}(d, \mathbb{F}_q((Y)))$  is in the image of  $\Gamma$ . This proves the lemma.

We next describe the stabilizer of the vertex  $v_0$  in  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$  and in  $\tilde{\Gamma}$ .

**LEMMA 2.4:** *Let  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$ . Then  $\alpha$  fixes the vertex  $v_0$  if and only if it is conjugation by an element  $a \in \mathcal{A}^\times$  which can be chosen so that  $a = a_0 + \cdots + a_{d-1}\sigma^{d-1}$  and  $a^{-1} = a'_0 + \cdots + a'_{d-1}\sigma^{d-1}$ , where all the  $a_j$ 's and  $a'_j$ 's are in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}[[Y]]$  (as well as in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}(Y)$ ).*

*Proof:* Pick any  $a \in \mathcal{A}^\times$  such that  $\alpha$  is conjugation by  $a$ . Suppose that  $A \in \text{GL}(d, \mathbb{F}_q((Y)))$  corresponds to  $a$  under the embedding (1.2). By assumption,  $\alpha$  fixes  $v_0$ . This means that  $[AL_0] = [L_0]$ , and so  $AL_0 = Y^k L_0$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Replacing  $a$  by  $Y^{-k}a$ , we can assume that  $AL_0 = L_0$ . Thus  $A \in \text{GL}(d, \mathbb{F}_q[[Y]])$ . Write  $a = a_0 + a_1\sigma + \cdots + a_{d-1}\sigma^{d-1}$ . Then  $A = Q(A_0 + A_1S + \cdots + A_{d-1}S^{d-1})Q^{-1}$ , where  $A_j = \Psi(a_j)$  and  $S = \Psi(\sigma)$ , in the notation of Section 1. As  $Q \in \text{GL}(d, \mathbb{F}_{q^d})$ , the entries of  $A_0 + A_1S + \cdots + A_{d-1}S^{d-1}$  must be in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}[[Y]]$ . Now  $S, \dots, S^{d-1}$  have diagonal entries all 0. So  $A_0$  has diagonal entries in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}[[Y]]$ . In particular, the  $(1, 1)$  entry,  $a_0$ , is in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}[[Y]]$ . Using the fact that  $S \in \text{GL}(d, \mathbb{F}_{q^d}[[Y]])$ , we can easily see in a similar way that  $a_1, \dots, a_{d-1}$  are all in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}[[Y]]$ . Writing  $a^{-1} = a'_0 + \cdots + a'_{d-1}\sigma^{d-1}$ , we have  $A^{-1} = Q(A'_0 + A'_1S + \cdots + A'_{d-1}S^{d-1})Q^{-1}$ , where  $A'_j = \Psi(a'_j)$ , and we find that  $a'_0, \dots, a'_{d-1}$  are also all in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}[[Y]]$  for the same reasons.

Conversely, if all the  $a_j$ 's and  $a'_j$ 's are in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}[[Y]]$ , then it is clear that both  $A$  and  $A^{-1}$  have entries in  $\mathbb{F}_q[[Y]]$ , and so  $\alpha$  fixes  $v_0$ .

**LEMMA 2.5:** *Let  $\alpha \in \tilde{\Gamma}$ . Then  $\alpha$  fixes the vertex  $v_0$  if and only if  $\alpha$  is conjugation by an element of the form  $\theta\sigma^j$ , where  $\theta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}^\times$ .*

*Proof:* It is clear that if  $\alpha$  is conjugation by  $\theta\sigma^j$ , where  $\theta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}^\times$ , then  $\alpha \in \tilde{\Gamma}$  and  $\alpha$  fixes  $v_0$ . Conversely, suppose that  $\alpha \in \tilde{\Gamma}$  fixes  $v_0$ . Then  $\alpha$  is conjugation by an element  $a \in \mathcal{A}^\times$  as in Lemma 2.4. If  $a_r$  is the first of the coefficients  $a_j$  which is nonzero, then composing  $\alpha$  with conjugation by  $\sigma^{-r}$ , we may suppose that

$r = 0$ . In addition, for each  $i, j = 0, \dots, d-1$ ,  $a\xi_i\sigma^j a^{-1}$  must be a  $\mathbb{F}_q[1/Y]$ -linear combination of the  $\xi_k\sigma^\ell$ 's, and so an  $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}[1/Y]$ -linear combination of the  $\sigma^\ell$ 's. To find the coefficient of  $\sigma^\ell$  in

$$\begin{aligned} a\xi\sigma^j a^{-1} &= (a_0 + \dots + a_{d-1}\sigma^{d-1})\xi\sigma^j(a'_0 + \dots + a'_{d-1}\sigma^{d-1}) \\ &= (a_0\xi + a_1\varphi(\xi)\sigma + \dots + a_{d-1}\varphi^{d-1}(\xi)\sigma^{d-1}) \\ &\quad \times (\varphi^j(a'_0)\sigma^j + \dots + \varphi^j(a'_{d-1})\sigma^{j+d-1}), \end{aligned}$$

for each  $r \in \{0, \dots, d-1\}$  we pick the term  $a_r\varphi^r(\xi)\sigma^r$  in the first factor, we multiply it by the unique term  $\varphi^j(a'_k)\sigma^{j+k}$  of the second factor for which  $r + j + k \equiv \ell \pmod{d}$ , and then we sum over  $r$ . We thus find that the coefficient of  $\sigma^\ell$  is of the form

$$\sum_{r=0}^{d-1} \varphi^r(\xi) c_r.$$

By hypothesis, this is in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}[1/Y]$  for each  $\xi = \xi_i$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, d-1$ . So if  $\mathbf{c}$  is the column vector with entries  $c_0, \dots, c_{d-1}$ , then  $Q\mathbf{c}$  has entries in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}[1/Y]$ . But  $Q \in \mathrm{GL}(d, \mathbb{F}_{q^d})$ , and so  $\mathbf{c}$  has entries in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}[1/Y]$ . Clearly

$$c_r = \begin{cases} a_r\varphi^{r+j}(a'_{\ell-r-j}) & \text{if } r+j \leq \ell, \\ Za_r\varphi^{r+j}(a'_{d+\ell-r-j}) & \text{if } \ell < r+j \leq d+\ell, \\ Z^2a_r\varphi^{r+j}(a'_{2d+\ell-r-j}) & \text{if } d+\ell < r+j \leq 2d+\ell. \end{cases}$$

Recall that  $a_0 \neq 0$ . We claim that  $a'_1 = \dots = a'_{d-1} = 0$ . Fix  $k \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$ . Taking  $r = 0$  and  $\ell = j + k$  for  $j = 0, \dots, d-k-1$ , we see that

$$a_0a'_k, a_0\varphi(a'_k), \dots, a_0\varphi^{d-k-1}(a'_k) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}[1/Y].$$

But  $a_0$  and  $a'_k$  are in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}[[Y]]$ , and as  $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}[[Y]] \cap \mathbb{F}_{q^d}[1/Y] = \mathbb{F}_{q^d}$ , we have

$$(2.1) \quad a_0a'_k, a_0\varphi(a'_k), \dots, a_0\varphi^{d-k-1}(a'_k) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}.$$

Suppose that  $a'_k \neq 0$ . Suppose at first that  $k \leq d-2$ . Then  $a_0a'_k, a_0\varphi(a'_k) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}$ , so that  $\varphi(a'_k)/a'_k \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}$ . As  $a'_k \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}[[Y]]$ , this forces  $a'_k$  to equal  $\theta_k\tilde{a}_k$  for some  $\theta_k \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}$  and  $\tilde{a}_k \in \mathbb{F}_q[[Y]]$ . Hence  $a_0\tilde{a}_k \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}$ . Next, taking  $r = 0$ , and  $\ell = j + k - d$  for  $j = d-k, \dots, d-1$ , we similarly see that

$$(2.2) \quad Za_0\varphi^{d-k}(a'_k), \dots, Za_0\varphi^{d-1}(a'_k) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d},$$

and so  $Za_0\varphi^{d-k}(\theta_k)\tilde{a}_k \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}$ , and hence  $Za_0\tilde{a}_k \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}$ . But  $a_0\tilde{a}_k \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}$ , and so we have a contradiction. When  $k = d-1$ , we use (2.2) to see that  $a'_k = \theta_k\tilde{a}_k$



for some  $\theta_k \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}$  and  $\tilde{a}_k \in \mathbb{F}_q[[Y]]$ , and then (2.1) yields a contradiction. Thus  $a'_1 = \cdots = a'_{d-1} = 0$ .

Hence  $a^{-1} = a'_0 \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}(Y)$ . Thus  $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}(Y)$ , so that  $a_1 = \cdots = a_{d-1} = 0$ . As  $\alpha \in \tilde{\Gamma}$ ,  $a\xi_i\sigma^j a^{-1} = a_0\varphi^j(a_0^{-1})\xi_i\sigma^j$  is an  $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}[1/Y]$ -multiple of  $\sigma^j$ . But  $a_0^{-1} = a'_0 \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}[[Y]]$ , and so  $a_0\varphi^j(a_0^{-1})\xi_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}[1/Y] \cap \mathbb{F}_{q^d}[[Y]] = \mathbb{F}_{q^d}$ . As above, we have  $a_0 = \theta\tilde{a}_0$ , where  $\tilde{a}_0 \in \mathbb{F}_q[[Y]]$  and  $\theta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}$ . As  $\tilde{a}_0$  belongs to the centre of  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\alpha$  is also conjugation by  $\theta$ .

**THEOREM 2.6:** *The group  $\Gamma$  acts simply transitively on the set  $\mathcal{V}_\Delta$  of vertices of the  $\tilde{A}_n$ -building  $\Delta$  associated with  $\mathbb{F}_q((Y))$ . Moreover,  $\Gamma$  is a normal subgroup of  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  of index  $d(q^d - 1)/(q - 1)$ .*

*Proof:* To prove the first statement, we need only check that if  $\theta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}^\times$ ,  $j \in \{0, \dots, d-1\}$ , and if conjugation  $\alpha$  by  $\theta\sigma^j$  belongs to  $\Gamma$ , then  $j = 0$  and  $\theta \in \mathbb{F}_q$  (so that  $\alpha$  is the identity automorphism). But  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  implies that  $\alpha(\xi) \equiv \xi \pmod{1/Y}$  for each  $\xi \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}$ . But  $\alpha(\xi) - \xi = \varphi^j(\xi) - \xi$ , which can be zero mod  $1/Y$  only if it is zero, and if this holds for all  $\xi$ , then  $j = 0$ . Also,  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  implies that  $\alpha(\sigma) - \sigma \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d} \pmod{1/Y}$ . This means that  $(\theta\varphi(\theta^{-1}) - 1)\sigma \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}$ , which can only happen if  $\theta = \varphi(\theta)$ , that is, if  $\theta \in \mathbb{F}_q$ .

As  $\Gamma$  acts transitively on  $\mathcal{V}_\Delta$ , we know that  $\tilde{\Gamma} = \Gamma H$ , where  $H$  is the stabilizer of  $v_0$  in  $\tilde{\Gamma}$ . Now conjugation by  $\theta\sigma^j$  equals conjugation by  $\theta'\sigma^{j'}$  if and only if  $\theta = \theta' \pmod{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$  and  $j = j'$ . Hence  $|H| = d(q^d - 1)/(q - 1)$ . We saw above that  $\Gamma \cap H = \{\text{id}\}$ , and so  $\Gamma$  has index  $d(q^d - 1)/(q - 1)$  in  $\tilde{\Gamma}$ . One can easily check that conjugation by  $\sigma$  or by any  $\theta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}^\times$  normalizes  $\Gamma$ . Hence  $\Gamma$  is normal in  $\tilde{\Gamma}$ .

### §3. The isomorphism $\Pi(\mathbb{F}_{q^d}) \rightarrow \Pi(v_0)$

Recall that the projective geometry  $\Pi(v_0)$  of neighbours of  $v_0$  in the  $\tilde{A}_n$ -building associated to  $\mathbb{F}_q((Y))$  is isomorphic to the flag complex  $\Pi(\mathbf{V})$  of a  $d$ -dimensional vector space  $\mathbf{V}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . We may take  $\mathbf{V} = \mathbb{F}_{q^d}$ , and in this section, for each  $U \in \Pi(\mathbb{F}_{q^d})$ , we find explicit elements  $b_U$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $U \rightarrow b_U.v_0$  is an isomorphism  $\Pi(\mathbb{F}_{q^d}) \rightarrow \Pi(v_0)$ .

For  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , let  $\Pi_k(\mathbb{F}_{q^d})$  denote the set of  $k$ -dimensional subspaces of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}$ . Let  $U \in \Pi_k(\mathbb{F}_{q^d})$ , let  $u_1, \dots, u_k$  be a basis of  $U$ , and let  $m_1, \dots, m_k$  be integers. Let  $[U; m_1, \dots, m_k]$  denote the determinant of the  $k \times k$  matrix whose  $(i, j)$ -th entry is  $\varphi^{m_j}(u_i)$ . Let  $u'_1, \dots, u'_k$  be another basis of  $U$ . Write  $u'_j = \sum_{i=1}^d t_{i,j}u_i$ , where  $t_{i,j} \in \mathbb{F}_q$  for each  $i, j$ . Then the determinant of the  $k \times k$  matrix whose  $(i, j)$ -th entry is  $\varphi^{m_j}(u'_i)$  is  $t[U; m_1, \dots, m_k]$ , where  $t = \det(t_{i,j})$ . Note that  $0 \neq t \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . Hence  $[U; m_1, \dots, m_k]$  is independent, modulo  $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$ , of

the choice of the basis  $u_1, \dots, u_k$  of  $U$ . Moreover, if  $[U; m_1, \dots, m_k] \neq 0$ , and if  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  are integers, then  $[U; n_1, \dots, n_k]/[U; m_1, \dots, m_k]$ , where both numerator and denominator are calculated using the same basis for  $U$ , is independent of that basis.

LEMMA 3.1: *If  $U \in \Pi_k(\mathbb{F}_{q^d})$ , then  $[U; 0, -1, \dots, -(k-1)] \neq 0$ .*

*Proof:* This is obvious if  $k = 1$ . When  $k = 2$ , let  $u_1, u_2$  be a basis for  $U$ . Then  $[U; 0, -1] = u_1\varphi^{-1}(u_2) - \varphi^{-1}(u_1)u_2$ . If this is 0, then  $\varphi^{-1}(u_2/u_1) = u_2/u_1$ , which implies that  $u_2/u_1 \in \mathbb{F}_q$ , which is impossible. Now let  $k > 2$ , and assume the result for  $k-1$ . Let  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k$  be a basis for some  $U \in \Pi_k(\mathbb{F}_{q^d})$ . Suppose that  $[U; 0, -1, \dots, -(k-1)] = 0$ . Then  $\det(A) = 0$ , where  $A$  is the  $k \times k$  matrix whose  $(i, j)$ -th entry is  $\varphi^{-(i-1)}(u_j)$ . Subtract  $u_1/\varphi^{-1}(u_1)$  times row 2 from row 1, then  $\varphi^{-1}(u_1)/\varphi^{-2}(u_1)$  times row 3 from row 2, etc. This results in a matrix all of whose entries in the first column are 0, except the last,  $\varphi^{-(k-1)}(u_1)$ . So  $0 = \det(A) = (-1)^{k-1}\varphi^{-(k-1)}(u_1)\det(B)$ , where  $B$  is the  $(k-1) \times (k-1)$ -matrix whose  $(i, j)$ -th entry is  $\varphi^{-(i-1)}(u'_{j+1})$ , where  $u'_j = u_j - (u_1/\varphi^{-1}(u_1))\varphi^{-1}(u_j)$ . Thus  $\det(B) = 0$ , and the induction hypothesis implies that  $u'_k = a_2u'_2 + \dots + a_{k-1}u'_{k-1}$  for some  $a_2, \dots, a_{k-1} \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . Thus

$$u_1\varphi^{-1}\left(u_k - \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} a_j u_j\right) - \varphi^{-1}(u_1)\left(u_k - \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} a_j u_j\right) = 0,$$

and so by the  $k = 2$  case we must have  $u_k - \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} a_j u_j = a_1 u_1$  for some  $a_1 \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . This is a contradiction.

Now let  $U \in \Pi_k(\mathbb{F}_{q^d})$ . By Lemma 3.1 we can form the following element  $b_U$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ :

$$(3.1) \quad b_U = \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \sigma^{-j} \frac{[U; j, -1, \dots, -(k-1)]}{[U; 0, -1, \dots, -(k-1)]}.$$

When  $U = \mathbb{F}_q u$  is one-dimensional, then  $b_U$  equals the  $b_u$  used in the last section:

$$b_U = \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \sigma^{-j} \frac{\varphi^j(u)}{u} = u \left( \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \sigma^{-j} \right) u^{-1} = u b_1 u^{-1} = b_u.$$

Notice also that in (3.1), the coefficient of  $\sigma^{-j}$  is zero for  $j = d-k+1, \dots, d-1$ .

LEMMA 3.2: *Let  $U \in \Pi_k(\mathbb{F}_{q^d})$  and  $W \in \Pi_{k+1}(\mathbb{F}_{q^d})$ , with  $U \subset W$ . Then*

$$(3.2) \quad b_W = \frac{Z}{Z-1} b_U b_y$$

for (modulo  $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$ )

$$(3.3) \quad y = [W; 0, -1, \dots, -k] / [U; -1, \dots, -k].$$

*Proof:* For any  $y \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ , we have  $b_y = y b_1 y^{-1}$ , and so

$$b_y^{-1} = y b_1^{-1} y^{-1} = \frac{Z}{Z-1} y (1 - \sigma^{-1}) y^{-1} = \frac{Z}{Z-1} \left( 1 - \sigma^{-1} \frac{\varphi(y)}{y} \right).$$

So we need only show that for  $y$  as in (3.3),

$$b_W \left( 1 - \sigma^{-1} \frac{\varphi(y)}{y} \right) = b_U.$$

The left hand side equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \sigma^{-j} \frac{[W; j, -1, \dots, -k]}{[W; 0, -1, \dots, -k]} - \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \sigma^{-(j+1)} \frac{[W; j+1, 0, \dots, -(k-1)]}{[W; 1, 0, \dots, -(k-1)]} \frac{\varphi(y)}{y} = \\ & \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \sigma^{-j} \frac{[W; j, -1, \dots, -k]}{[W; 0, -1, \dots, -k]} - \sum_{j=1}^d \sigma^{-j} \frac{[W; j, 0, \dots, -(k-1)][U; -1, \dots, -k]}{[W; 0, -1, \dots, -k][U; 0, -1, \dots, -(k-1)]}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the  $j = d$  term in the last sum is 0, and that the  $j = 0$  term in the second last sum is 1. For  $1 \leq j \leq d-1$ , collecting the terms in  $\sigma^{-j}$  for these two sums, we see that the result will be proved once we check the identity

$$\begin{aligned} & [W; j, -1, \dots, -k][U; 0, -1, \dots, -(k-1)] \\ (3.4) \quad & - [W; j, 0, \dots, -(k-1)][U; -1, -2, \dots, -k] \\ & = [W; 0, -1, \dots, -k][U; j, -1, \dots, -(k-1)]. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $w_1, \dots, w_{k+1}$  be a basis of  $W$  such that  $w_1, \dots, w_k$  is a basis of  $U$ . The desired identity is of the form  $ab - cd = ef$ , where  $a, \dots, f$  are certain determinants. Now  $a$ ,  $c$  and  $f$  are determinants of matrices whose first columns have entries  $\varphi^j(w_1), \dots, \varphi^j(w_{k+1})$  (the last of these not used in  $f$ ). If we replace these columns by a column vector  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{k+1})^t$ , we see that  $ab - cd - ef$  is linear in  $\mathbf{x}$ . When we set  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_\nu = (\varphi^{-\nu}(w_1), \dots, \varphi^{-\nu}(w_{k+1}))^t$ , where  $0 \leq \nu \leq k$ , then a moment's thought shows that  $ab - cd - ef = 0$ . But Lemma 3.1 shows that the  $k+1$  vectors  $\mathbf{x}_\nu$  are linearly independent. Thus  $ab - cd - ef$  equals 0 for any  $\mathbf{x}$ , and so, in particular, for  $\mathbf{x} = (\varphi^j(w_1), \dots, \varphi^j(w_{k+1}))^t$ .

LEMMA 3.3: If  $U \in \Pi_k(\mathbb{F}_{q^d})$ , then

$$(3.5) \quad b_U^{-1} = \frac{Z}{Z-1} \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \frac{[U; 0, -1, \dots, \widehat{-j}, \dots, -k]}{[U; -1, -2, \dots, -k]} \sigma^{-j},$$

where  $\widehat{-j}$  indicates that the index  $-j$  is omitted.

*Proof:* Let  $k = 1$ , and let  $U = \mathbb{F}_q u$ . Then  $b_u = ub_1 u^{-1}$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} b_u^{-1} &= ub_1^{-1} u^{-1} = u \frac{Z}{Z-1} (1 - \sigma^{-1}) u^{-1} = \frac{Z}{Z-1} \left( 1 - \frac{u}{\varphi^{-1}(u)} \sigma^{-1} \right) \\ &= \frac{Z}{Z-1} \left( 1 - \frac{[U; 0]}{[U; -1]} \sigma^{-1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose now that the formula has been proved for all  $k$ -dimensional subspaces of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}$ , and let  $W \in \Pi_{k+1}(\mathbb{F}_{q^d})$ . Choose any  $k$ -dimensional subspace  $U$  of  $W$ . Let  $w_1, \dots, w_{k+1}$  be a basis of  $W$  such that  $w_1, \dots, w_k$  is a basis of  $U$ . By Lemma 3.2, we have

$$b_W = \frac{Z}{Z-1} b_U b_y \quad \text{for } y = [W; 0, -1, \dots, -k] / [U; -1, \dots, -k].$$

Using the induction hypothesis, we have, writing  $(-1)^j C_j$  for the coefficient of  $\sigma^{-j}$  in (3.5),

$$b_W^{-1} = \frac{Z-1}{Z} b_y^{-1} b_U^{-1} = \frac{Z}{Z-1} \left( 1 - \frac{y}{\varphi^{-1}(y)} \sigma^{-1} \right) \left( \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j C_j \sigma^{-j} \right).$$

Multiplying out the second and third factors, we see that the coefficient of  $\sigma^{-(k+1)}$  is

$$(-1)^{k+1} \frac{y}{\varphi^{-1}(y)} \varphi^{-1}(C_k) = (-1)^{k+1} \frac{[W; 0, -1, \dots, -k]}{[W; -1, -2, \dots, -(k+1)]},$$

as desired. For  $1 \leq j \leq k$ , the coefficient of  $\sigma^{-j}$  is

$$(-1)^j C_j - (-1)^{j-1} \frac{y}{\varphi^{-1}(y)} \varphi^{-1}(C_{j-1}).$$

After a little algebra, we see that this equals the desired value  $(-1)^j [W; 0, -1, \dots, \widehat{-j}, \dots, -(k+1)] / [W; -1, -2, \dots, -(k+1)]$  if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} &[U; 0, -1, \dots, \widehat{-j}, \dots, -k] [W; -1, -2, \dots, -(k+1)] \\ &\quad + [U; -1, -2, \dots, \widehat{-j}, \dots, -(k+1)] [W; 0, -1, \dots, -k] \\ &= [U; -1, -2, \dots, -k] [W; 0, -1, \dots, \widehat{-j}, \dots, -(k+1)]. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of this identity is very similar to the proof of (3.4), and we omit it.

**PROPOSITION 3.4:** *For each  $U \in \Pi(\mathbb{F}_{q^d})$ , conjugation by  $b_U$  is an element of  $\Gamma$ . Let  $g_U \in \text{GL}(d, \mathbb{F}_q[[Y]])$  denote the image of  $b_U$  under the embedding (1.2). Let  $v_0$  be the standard base vertex of the  $\tilde{A}_n$  building  $\Delta$  associated with  $\mathbb{F}_q((Y))$ . Then for each  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , the type  $k$  neighbours of  $v_0$  in  $\Delta$  are precisely the vertices  $g_U v_0$ , where  $U \in \Pi_k(\mathbb{F}_{q^d})$ . The correspondence  $U \leftrightarrow g_U v_0$  is an isomorphism of the projective geometries  $\Pi(\mathbb{F}_{q^d})$  and  $\Pi(v_0)$ .*

*Proof:* The first statement is clear from Lemma 2.1, Lemma 3.2 and induction. It is clear from the definition of  $b_U$  that  $g_U$  has entries in  $Z^{-1}\mathbb{F}_q[X] \subset \mathbb{F}_q[[Y]]$ , and so  $g_U L_0 \subset L_0$ . Lemma 3.3 shows that  $Y g_U^{-1} = (Z - 1) g_U^{-1}$  has entries in  $\mathbb{F}_q[X] \subset \mathbb{F}_q[[Y]]$ , and so  $Y L_0 \subset g_U L_0$ . We have seen that the norm  $N(b_1)$  equals  $((Z - 1)/Z)^{d-1}$ . A simple induction based on Lemma 3.2 implies that  $N(b_U) = ((Z - 1)/Z)^{d-k}$  if  $U$  is  $k$ -dimensional. Thus  $\det(g_U) = N(b_U)$  has valuation  $d - k$ . Thus  $g_U v_0$  is a neighbour of  $v_0$  of type  $k$ . Let  $U \in \Pi_i(\mathbb{F}_{q^d})$  and  $V \in \Pi_j(\mathbb{F}_{q^d})$ , with  $U \subset V$ . If  $j = i + 1$ , then  $g_U v_0$  and  $g_V v_0$  are incident in  $\Pi(v_0)$  by Lemma 3.2. For we can write

$$b_V = \frac{Z}{Z - 1} b_U b_y \quad \text{for some } y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}^\times.$$

Thus

$$d(g_V v_0, g_U v_0) = d(g_U g_y v_0, g_U v_0) = d(g_y v_0, v_0) = 1,$$

by Lemma 2.2. For general  $j > i$ , choose any subspaces  $U_i \subset U_{i+1} \subset \dots \subset U_j$  such that  $U_i = U$ ,  $U_j = V$ , and  $\dim(U_\nu) = \nu$  for each  $\nu$ . By the above,  $g_{U_\nu} v_0$  and  $g_{U_{\nu+1}} v_0$  are incident in  $\Pi(v_0)$  for  $\nu = i, \dots, j - 1$ . As  $\Pi(v_0)$  is a projective geometry and each  $g_{U_\nu} v_0$  has type  $\nu$ , this implies that  $g_U v_0$  and  $g_V v_0$  are incident.

Finally, we show that the map  $U \mapsto g_U v_0$  is a bijection  $\Pi(\mathbb{F}_{q^d}) \rightarrow \Pi(v_0)$  by showing that it is injective. We know from Lemma 2.2 that it is a bijection between the 1-dimensional subspaces of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}$  and the type 1 neighbours of  $v_0$ . Suppose that  $V, V' \in \Pi_k(\mathbb{F}_{q^d})$  are distinct, where  $k > 1$ . Then  $V$  contains exactly  $N = (q^k - 1)/(q - 1)$  1-dimensional subspaces,  $U_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, N$ , say. Choose a one dimensional subspace  $U$  of  $V'$  which is not contained in  $V$ . If  $g_V v_0 = g_{V'} v_0$  were to hold, then  $g_V v_0$  would be incident in  $\Pi(v_0)$  with the  $N + 1$  type 1 vertices  $g_{U_j} v_0$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, N$ , and  $g_U v_0$ , which is impossible. Thus  $g_V v_0 \neq g_{V'} v_0$ .

By Proposition 2.2 in [2], we know that the image of  $\Gamma$  in  $\text{PGL}(d, \mathbb{F}_q((Y)))$  has a presentation with generators the  $g_U$ 's,  $U \in \Pi(\mathbb{F}_{q^d})$ , and relations (1)  $g_U g_V g_W = 1$  for certain  $U, V, W \in \Pi(\mathbb{F}_{q^d})$  satisfying  $\dim(U) + \dim(V) + \dim(W) = d$  (see [1, §2]), and (2)  $g_U g_{\lambda(U)} = 1$  for each  $U \in \Pi(\mathbb{F}_{q^d})$ , where  $\lambda$  is an involution of  $\Pi(\mathbb{F}_{q^d})$  satisfying  $\dim(\lambda(U)) = d - \dim(U)$  for each  $U$  (see [1, Lemma 2.1]). It is routine to rephrase these statements in terms of the  $b_U$ 's:

COROLLARY 3.5: Suppose that  $U$  and  $W$  are subspaces of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}$ , with  $U \subsetneq W$ . Then there is a subspace  $V$  of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}$  such that  $\dim(W) = \dim(U) + \dim(V)$  and

$$(3.6) \quad b_W = \frac{Z}{Z-1} b_U b_V.$$

Moreover, there is an involution  $\lambda$  of  $\Pi(\mathbb{F}_{q^d})$  such that  $\dim(\lambda(U)) = d - \dim(U)$  for each  $U \in \Pi(\mathbb{F}_{q^d})$ , and such that

$$(3.7) \quad b_{\lambda(U)} = \frac{Z-1}{Z} b_U^{-1}.$$

We omit the proof of Corollary 3.5. In (3.6), we have in general no completely explicit "formula" for  $V$  in terms of  $U$  and  $W$  when  $\dim(W/U) > 1$ . However, a routine induction on  $\dim(W/U)$  shows that, modulo  $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$ ,

$$[V; 0, -1, \dots, -(j-1)] = \frac{[W; 0, -1, \dots, -(k-1)]}{[U; -j, \dots, -(k-1)]}.$$

In (3.7), we have no closed formula for  $\lambda(U)$ , except when  $\dim(U) \leq 2$ . If  $U = \mathbb{F}_q u$  is 1-dimensional, then one can easily show that

$$\lambda(U) = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d} : \text{Tr}(x/u) = 0\} = u\{1\}^\perp,$$

where for  $S \subset \mathbb{F}_{q^d}$ ,  $S^\perp = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d} : \text{Tr}(sx) = 0 \text{ for all } s \in S\}$ . If  $U \in \Pi_2(\mathbb{F}_{q^d})$ , then one can show that

$$\lambda(U) = [U; 0, -1] \varphi^{-1}(U^\perp).$$

In general, there is no simple relationship between  $\lambda(U)$  and  $U^\perp$ . However, (3.7) implies various relations between some of the numbers  $[U; m_1, \dots, m_k]$  and  $[\lambda(U); n_1, \dots, n_{d-k}]$ . If we also use the relation

$$[U^\perp; -k, \dots, -(d-1)]\theta = [U; 0, -1, \dots, -(k-1)] \quad \text{modulo } \mathbb{F}_q^\times,$$

where  $\theta = [\mathbb{F}_{q^d}; 0, -1, \dots, -(d-1)]$ , which is a consequence of [6, p. 166], we can show that, for any  $U \in \Pi_k(\mathbb{F}_{q^d})$ ,

$$[\lambda(U)^\perp; 0, -1, \dots, -(k-1)] = 1/[U; 0, -1, \dots, -(k-1)] \quad \text{modulo } \mathbb{F}_q^\times.$$

**Remark 3.6:** Recall that the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  was defined using an automorphism  $\varphi$  of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}$  which generates the Galois group of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , and is therefore of the form  $x \mapsto x^{q^k}$ , where  $1 \leq k \leq d$  and  $\text{g.c.d.}(k, d) = 1$ . We therefore have  $\phi(n)$  different algebras  $\mathcal{A}$ , and so  $\phi(n)$  different groups  $\Gamma$ , where  $\phi$  denotes Euler's  $\phi$ -function. Note that if  $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{F}_{q^d}(Z)[\sigma]$  and  $\mathcal{A}' = \mathbb{F}_{q^d}(Z')[\sigma']$ , where  $\sigma x \sigma^{-1} = \varphi(x)$  and  $\sigma^d = Z$ , while  $\sigma' x (\sigma')^{-1} = \varphi^{-1}(x)$  and  $(\sigma')^d = Z'$ , then there is an isomorphism  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'$  mapping  $Z$  to  $1/Z'$ ,  $\sigma$  to  $(\sigma')^{-1}$ , and  $x$  to  $x$  for each  $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}$ . This halves the number of different groups  $\Gamma$ .

In [1], the first author worked with a single algebra  $\mathcal{A}_0 = \mathbb{F}_{q^d}(Z_0)[\sigma_0]$  where  $\sigma_0 x \sigma_0^{-1} = \varphi_0(x) = x^q$  for  $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}$ , and where  $\sigma_0^d = Z_0$ . Notice that there is an algebra homomorphism  $T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_0$  mapping  $\sigma$  to  $\sigma_0^k$  (where  $\varphi(x) = x^{q^k}$ , as above),  $Z$  to  $Z_0^k$ , and  $x$  to  $x$  for  $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}$ . If  $d = 4$  (so that  $\phi(d)/2 = 1$ ) and  $k = 1$ , then  $Z$  times the elements  $b_U$  defined in (3.1) are just the  $b_U$ 's defined in [1, §4]. If  $d = 5$  (so that  $\phi(d)/2 = 2$ ) and  $k = 2$ , then the image under  $T$  of  $Z$  times the elements  $b_U$  defined in (3.1) are just the  $b_U$ 's defined in [1, §4]. If  $d = 5$  and  $k = 1$ , elements of  $\mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{A}$  corresponding to the  $b_U$ 's were not found in [1].

Let  $\Gamma \leq \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$  be as above. If  $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}^\times$  satisfies  $\beta^d \in \mathbb{F}_q$ , then Lemma 3.1 of [1] gives a way of constructing another  $\tilde{A}_n$ -group  $\Gamma^\beta$  from  $\Gamma$ . Using Proposition 2.6 of [1], one may easily realize  $\Gamma^\beta$  as a subgroup of  $\tilde{\Gamma}$ ; one uses the elements  $b'_U = b_U \beta^{-\dim(U)}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ , and the fact that  $tb_U t^{-1} = b_U$  for  $t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}^\times$ .

### References

- [1] D. I. Cartwright, *Groups acting simply transitively on the vertices of a building of type  $\tilde{A}_n$* , in *Proceedings of the Conference "Groups of Lie type and their geometries"*, Como 1993 (W. M. Kantor, ed.), Cambridge University Press, to appear.
- [2] D. I. Cartwright, A. M. Mantero, T. Steger and A. Zappa, *Groups acting simply transitively on the vertices of a building of type  $\tilde{A}_2$  I*, *Geometriae Dedicata* **47** (1993), 143–166.
- [3] D. I. Cartwright, A. M. Mantero, T. Steger and A. Zappa, *Groups acting simply transitively on the vertices of a building of type  $\tilde{A}_2$  II: the cases  $q = 2$  and  $q = 3$* , *Geometriae Dedicata* **47** (1993), 167–226.
- [4] P. M. Cohn, *Algebra*, Volume 3 (second edition), Wiley, Chichester, 1991.
- [5] N. Jacobson, *PI-Algebras, An Introduction*, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics **441**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1975.
- [6] T. Muir, *A Treatise on the Theory of Determinants*, Dover Publications, New York, 1960.
- [7] M. Ronan, *Lectures on Buildings*, Academic Press, New York, 1989.

- [8] H. Voskuil, *Ultrametric uniformization and symmetric spaces*, Doctoral thesis, Rijksuniversiteit Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands, 1990.